Matjaž Mikoš · Binod Tiwari Yueping Yin · Kyoji Sassa · Editors

Advancing Culture of Living with Landslides

Volume 2 Advances in Landslide Science









Advancing Culture of Living with Landslides

Matjaž Mikoš · Binod Tiwari Yueping Yin · Kyoji Sassa Editors

Advancing Culture of Living with Landslides

Volume 2 Advances in Landslide Science



liesbet.jacobs@africamuseum.be

Editors Matjaž Mikoš Faculty of Civil and Geodetic Engineering University of Ljubljana Ljubljana Slovenia

Binod Tiwari Civil and Environmental Engineering Department California State University, Fullerton Fullerton, CA USA

Yueping Yin China Institute of Geo-Environment Monitoring China Geological Survey Beijing China

Kyoji Sassa International Consortium on Landslides Kyoto Japan Associate editors Mateja Jemec Auflič Geological Survey of Slovenia Ljubljana Slovenia

Adrin Tohari Research Center for Geotechnology Indonesian Institute of Sciences Bandung Indonesia

Basanta Raj Adhikari Center for Disaster Studies Tribhuvan University, Institute of Engineering Pulchowk Nepal

Beena Ajmera California State University Fullerton, CA USA

Snježana Mihalić Arbanas Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering University of Zagreb Zagreb Croatia

ISBN 978-3-319-53497-8 ISBN 978-3-319-53498-5 (eBook) DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-53498-5

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017939909

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Hiroshima landslide disasters in August 2014, Hiroshima, Japan (PASCO Corporation-Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd. All Rights Reserved).

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland



Landslide Diversity in the Rwenzori Mountains (Uganda)

Liesbet Jacobs, Olivier Dewitte, Clovis Kabaseke, François Kervyn, Jan Maes, Kewan Mertens, Adriano Nobile, John Sekajugo, Jean Poesen, Denis Samyn, and Matthieu Kervyn

Abstract

In the Rwenzori Mountains, at the border between Uganda and the D.R. Congo, landslides frequently occur and cause fatalities and substantial damage to agricultural land and infrastructure. Up until recently, no information on the landslide characteristics, occurrence or spatial distribution was available. The use of archive inventories and field surveys however allowed identifying the key mass wasting processes in this region and their triggering and controlling factors. Here, we present the results of these multi-temporal archive and field inventories. The Rwenzori mountains are diverse in lithology, topography and land use patterns. This diversity in landslide controlling factors is also reflected in the types of landslides that occur in this 3000 km² large region. The majority of the Rwenzori Mountains consists of steep slopes on gneiss, mica-schists and amphibolite lithologies. A dominance of shallow translational soil slides is observed in gneiss while the amphibolite is found not to be prone to such landslides. This is in sharp contrast to the lowlands, which are characterized by gentle slopes and a rift alluvium lithology. In contrast to what was expected, the largest landslide densities are found in these lowlands where large, deep-seated rotational soil slides with head scarps up to 30 m depth prevail. In both the lowlands and the uplands, slope gradients appears to be the main topographic predictor for the spatial occurrence of landslides. Finally, concerning landslide triggering events, in both the archive inventory and the field surveys, rainfall-triggered landslides are the most common but co-seismic slides were also observed.

Keywords

Landslides • Rwenzori Mountains • East-African rift

L. Jacobs · O. Dewitte · F. Kervyn · A. Nobile · D. Samyn Department of Earth Sciences, Royal Museum for Central Africa, Leuvensesteenweg 13, 3080 Tervuren, Belgium

L. Jacobs (⊠) · J. Maes · M. Kervyn Department of Geography Earth System Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Elsene, Belgium e-mail: liesbet.jacobs@africamuseum.be

C. Kabaseke

School of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Mountains of the Moon University, Fort Portal, Uganda

J. Maes · J. Poesen Division of Geography and Tourism, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200E, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

J. Sekajugo Department of Natural Resource Economics, Busitema University, P.O. Box 236, Tororo, Uganda

K. Mertens Division of Bio-Economics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200E, 3001 Heverlee, Belgium

© Springer International Publishing AG 2017 M. Mikoš et al. (eds.), *Advancing Culture of Living with Landslides*, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-53498-5_10

Introduction

In the East-African highlands, landslides frequently lead to loss of life, livelihood and property and cause extensive damage to public infrastructure. The Rwenzori mountains— Africa's third highest mountain range—is only recently identified as a landslide-prone region. Over the past decades, landslides have caused dozens of casualties and extensive damage to public infrastructure (Jacobs et al. 2016a). Moreover, these events are identified as the cause of major income loss among small-holder farmers in the region (Mertens et al. 2016). Despite of their significant impacts, relatively little is known on these hazards. This is not only true for the Rwenzori Mountains, but in general, the lack of landslide research in the tropical highlands of Africa in comparison to other landslide-prone regions globally, is striking (Maes et al. 2017).

In this proceeding we present the state of knowledge on the occurrence of landslides in the Rwenzori Mountain by summarizing the results of different types of data-collection. By doing so we provide an overview of the main mass wasting processes in the region, together with their controlling and triggering factors and geomorphologic characteristics.

Study Area

The Rwenzori Mountains lie on the border of D.R. Congo and Uganda. They cover an area of ca. 3000 km^2 and reach an altitude of 5109 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1). Due to its unique geomorphological features, its tectonic character, the bio-diversity of its ecosystems and the presence of glaciers on its highest peaks, this asymmetric horst mountain has been the subject of research in various disciplines.

Morphology

In contrast to other mountain systems in East-Africa exceeding 5000 m (Mount Kilimanjaro and Mount Kenya), the Rwenzori Mountains are not of volcanic origin (Bauer et al. 2012). The morphology of the horst mountain is the result of Paleogene and Neogene uplift, with a marked acceleration in Plio/Pleistocene times (Bauer et al. 2012), rendering the topography rugged with locally very steep slopes. The slopes on the east side are in general less steep than on the west side where elevation can increase from 1000 to 4500 m a.s.l. in less than 15 km. The quaternary glaciation cycles further shaped the topography. The last three glaciation maxima took place \sim 300 thousand, \sim 100



Fig. 1 Location of the study areas for field inventories (adjusted from Jacobs et al. 2016b)

thousand and 22–15 thousand years ago. During these glacial maxima, glacier erosion formed U-shaped valleys with oversteepened walls (Roller et al. 2012; Bauer et al. 2012). Currently these mountains still host glaciers but their overall size has strongly reduced over the past decades.

Lithology and Structural Features

The geology of the horst mountain is mainly built up of Precambrian metamorphic rock which consists of gneiss, schists and amphibolites. Gneiss dominates in the northern part of the mountain range, while gneiss with schists of the Kilembe and Bugoye group prevail in the southern part (Bauer et al. 2012; Roller et al. 2012).

Concerning tectonics, the Rwenzori Mountains are bounded by the Albertine rift on the west and the Lake George rift on the east (Lindenfeld et al. 2012). On its west side the normal Bwamba fault borders the Rwenzori Mountains, while in the NE, the normal Ruimi-Wasa fault is present (Fig. 1) (Koehn et al. 2010). Around the center of the eastern flank, a more complex faulting structure is apparent (Koehn et al. 2010). The Rwenzori Mountains are one of the most seismically active regions in East-Africa (Fig. 2c).



Fig. 2 a Example of a shallow translational soil slide on metamorphic lithology, **b** example of a deepseated rotational soil slide in rift alluvium, **c** distribution of major earthquakes of the past century (USGS 2014) and location and zone of influence (Eq. 1) of the 1966 and 1994

Population Growth and Environment

The population density roughly doubled in just more than two decades in the three Rwenzori Mountains districts. Due to population increase, pressure on natural resources is high. In East-Africa, deforestation occurred at rates of 0.22 and 0.39% per year between 1990–2000 and 2000–2010 respectively (Brink et al. 2014). However, for the Rwenzori Mountains, this trend of deforestation seems to have stopped at least from 2003 onwards (Jagger and Shively 2014). The stabilization in forest cover is attributed to the effective forest management and an increase in area for woodlots and tree plantations (Jagger and Shively 2014).

Earhquakes, **d** example of an earthquake-triggered landslide in Kabonero, *white arrows* indicate flow direction, *hatched lines* indicate landslide scarp. Adjusted from Jacobs et al. (2016b)

Precipitation

Rainfall is an important landslide trigger in equatorial Africa. In the Rwenzori Mountains temporal variation of rainfall is characterized by a bimodal pattern with a rainy season from March to May and one from August to November while spatial distribution is controlled by orographic variation (Taylor et al. 2009). Precipitation is highest on the NW flank and is generated by orographically-induced convection of moist air transported from the Congo River Basin into the Albertine rift through a gap in elevation at 0.5° latitude. As a result, precipitation exceeds 7000 mm/y at an altitude of 4000–5000 m a.s.l. Average annual

Table 1Overview of studyareas for the field inventoryconstruction

Study area	Area (km ²)	Average slope angle (°)	Lithology	# Land-slides
Kabonero	41	20	Gneiss, amphibolite	70
Mahango	30	20	Gneiss	91
Bundibugyo	43	14	Rift alluvium, gneiss, mica-schists	210
Total	114	NA	NA	371

precipitation on the NW flank is 1835 mm/y while this is only 785 mm/y on the SE flank, the rain shadow side of the Rwenzori Mountains (Jacobs et al. 2016a).

Because of its steep topography, seismic activity, recent deglaciation and intense rainfall patters combined with high population densities, the Rwenzori Mountains are comparable to other mountainous regions in tropical Africa and elsewhere, where landslides are common disasters. This was also suggested by Knapen et al. (2006), who mentioned Kasese and Bundibugyo as landslide-prone districts in the Rwenzori Mountains.

Methodology

Archive Inventory

As a first action to gain insight in landslide processes in the region, an exploratory study was conducted (Jacobs et al. 2016a). Because field information is scarce, archive sources were used to reconstruct an inventory of past landslide and flash flood events. The sources used are newspaper articles, governmental and non-governmental reports, and freely accessible internet sources such as personal blogs. A full detail on the sources used can be found in Jacobs et al. (2016a). Together with date and area of occurrence, the damage inflicted by the landslides were also registered. This data is then used as the basis for planning field surveys.

Field Surveys

Archive inventories are a straightforward tool to allow a first understanding of landslides in a certain region. However, the information available is strongly determined by the report quality, often produced by laymen. These archive inventories are limited in their potential to expose sliding mechanism and slide morphology. To fill this gap, several field investigations were conducted. In this region, field inventories are also particularly interesting because of the ability to recognize landslides years after their first occurrence, in contrast to visual remote sensing identification where the landslide bodies are generally rapidly covered by vegetation and often undetectable. In total three affected regions were selected below the national park in the inhabited region (Fig. 1): Bundibugyo, Kabonero and Mahango. Details on these study areas are given in Table 1. For a full description on the study areas we refer to Jacobs et al. (2016b). These study areas cover the main lithological units of the horst mountain and represent contrasting conditions in terms of rainfall regimes and seismic activity (Jacobs et al. 2016b). They are therefore considered representative for the inhabited region of the Rwenzori Mountains.

The field studies were conducted in a systematic way and key characteristics about the landslides' morphology, triggering and predisposing factors were registered. A selection of the most important factors considered are summarized in Table 2.

Results: Landslide Processes in the Rwenzori Mountains

The exploration of archive sources allowed the identification of 48 landslide or flash flood events. The earliest record reports landslides and rock falls triggered by tremors in February 1929 (Simmons 1930; UNESCO 1966). This archive inventory allowed exposing the two main sliding triggers: intense rainfall and earthquake activity. Although the majority of events were situated in the two rainy seasons, those landslides situated outside the rainy seasons were reported to be triggered by major earthquake events (Mw > 6 in 1929, 1966 and 1994; Jacobs et al. 2016a). However, with this inventory it was impossible to assess the spatial distribution of mass wasting processes because most events can only be located at a sub-county scale and a reporting-bias exists towards events occurring in more densely populated regions (Jacobs et al. 2016a).

The field inventories, in contrast, allowed to accurately locate the landslides and infer about their controlling factors. In Kabonero, 70 landslides were mapped, compared to 91 in Mahango and 210 in Bundibugyo (Fig. 3). In Bundibugyo, maximum densities amounted to 19 slides/km² and landslides cover 5% of the territory. Both maximum and average densities here are twice as high as in the two other study regions.

The dominant sliding mechanisms are very diverse among these regions (Table 3). In Kabonero and Mahango,

Table 2	Simplified	overview	of factors	considered	in t	the f	ield	inventory	(for	a fu	ill lis	t we	refer	to	Jacobs	et	al.	201	.6b	I)
---------	------------	----------	------------	------------	------	-------	------	-----------	------	------	---------	------	-------	----	--------	----	-----	-----	-----	----

Geometry of the slide A pick of main scarp m Width of main scarp m Plan shape of scarp Circular/cecilinear Length of run-out m Material Type of material moved Rock/debris/soil Bedrock reached Y/N Scalphone Topography of the slide Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary scarps Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary scarp Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N	Туре	Characteristic	Descriptor		
Width of main scarp n Pan shape of scarp Circularcettilinean Length of run-out n Material Type of material moved Rock/debris/soli Material Type of material moved Rock/debris/soli Poport material moved Sock/debris/soli Sock/debris/soli Poport metal Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage Y/N + location Poport of the slide Presence of secondary scarps Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Priggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation Journame Proport of the slide Forens of exert water slope occurrence Y/N Priggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation Journame Proport of the slide body Y/N Sock Journame Proport of the slide body Y/N Sock Journame Proport of the slide body Y/N Sock Journame Proport of the slide body Y/N Journame Journame Proport of the slide body Y/N	Geometry of the slide	Depth of main scarp	m		
Plan shape of scarpCircular/rectilinearLength of run-outmMaterialType of material movedRock/debis/soilBedrock reachedY/NTopography of the slidePresence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainageY/N + locationActivity of the slideRecent activity on (secondary) scarpY/N + locationActivity of the slideRecent activity on (secondary) scarpY/NTriggering factorsTiming of landslide, timing of reactivationdateReports of heavy rainfall before occurrenceY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/N + type of road + location of contactRiver undercuttingY/NY/N		Width of main scarp	m		
IndextorIndextorIndextorMaterialType of material movedRock/debris/soliMaterialType of material movedN/NBedrock reachedY/N + locationTopography of the slidePresence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainageY/N + locationActivity of the slideRecent activity on (secondary) scarpY/NActivity of the slideRecent activity in slide bodyY/NTriggering factorsTiming of landslide, timing of reactivationdateApprox of earthquake activity before occurrenceY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/NMaterialSing of activity on factorY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/NMaterialSing of activity factorY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/NMaterialSing of activity factorY/NPreparatory factorsRoad cutY/NMaterialSing of activity factorY/NPreparatory factorsNon top of the scale cut factorY/NMaterialSing of activity factorY/NPreparatory factorsNon top of the scale cut factorY/NNon top of the scale cut factorY/NY/NNon top of the scale cut factor <t< td=""><td></td><td>Plan shape of scarp</td><td>Circular/rectilinear</td></t<>		Plan shape of scarp	Circular/rectilinear		
Material Type of material moved Rock/debris/soli Bedrock reached Y/N Topography of the slide Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage Y/N + location Activity of the slide Presence of secondary scarps Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Activity of the slide Presence of secondary scarps Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N		Length of run-out	m		
Bedrock reached Y/N Topography of the slide Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage Y/N + location Presence of secondary scarps Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Recent activity in slide body Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N Road cut Y/N Y/N	Material	Type of material moved	Rock/debris/soil		
Topography of the slide Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + tope of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N Y/N		Bedrock reached	Y/N		
Presence of secondary scarps Y/N + location Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Recent activity in slide body Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + type of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N	Topography of the slide	Presence of reverse slope(s), stagnation water, drainage	Y/N + location		
Activity of the slide Recent activity on (secondary) scarp Y/N Recent activity in slide body Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + type of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N		Presence of secondary scarps	Y/N + location		
Recent activity in slide body Y/N Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut River undercutting Y/N	Activity of the slide	Recent activity on (secondary) scarp	Y/N		
Triggering factors Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation date Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut River undercutting Y/N		Recent activity in slide body	Y/N		
Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence Y/N Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + type of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N	Triggering factors	Timing of landslide, timing of reactivation	date		
Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence Y/N Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + type of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N		Reports of heavy rainfall before occurrence	Y/N		
Preparatory factors Road cut Y/N + type of road + location of contact River undercutting Y/N		Reports of earthquake activity before occurrence	Y/N		
River undercutting Y/N	Preparatory factors	Road cut	Y/N + type of road + location of contact		
		River undercutting	Y/N		



Fig. 3 Landslide distribution maps for the three study areas (adjusted from Jacobs et al. 2016b)

translational soil slides are the most common and approximately 75% of the landslides here were shallow (Table 3, Fig. 2a). This is in sharp contrast to the Bundibugyo region. Here, deep-seated landslides are more frequent than shallow slides (125 vs. 76). Translational and rotational landslides are almost equally present in this study area, however, the vast majority of rotational (n = 88) and deep-seated slides (n = 113) are located in the rift alluvium (Fig. 2b) while shallow and translational slides are much more common in the upland regions of the study area. The dominance of deep-seated landslides in the lowlands is because here, deep profiles without bedrock are occurring. The prevalence of shallow landslides on the highlands of Bundibugyo but also Kabonero and Mahango is due to the presence of shallow soils in the upland region where loose eroded materials are underlain by metamorphic bedrock. Landslides in these highlands are typically 0.5–3 m deep where the depth of the landslide is limited by the depth of the weathered regolith.

The data on landslide dimensions is summarized in Table 4. Landslide sizes include the run-out and detachment zone of the slide. There is a large difference in landslide size between the study areas. The average landslide size in Bundibugyo is almost four times larger than that in Mahango where the smallest slides are found. Furthermore, within

and she type in each study area													
	Kabonero				Mahango				Bundibugyo				
	Displaced material												
	Soil	Debris	Rock	N/A	Soil	Debris	Rock	N/A	Soil	Debris	Rock	N/A	
Rot.slide	3	0	0	3	7	3	1	1	84	3	0	1	
Transl. slide	41	7	1	4	55	7	2	5	68	13	1	8	
Flow	3	3	0	0	7	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	
Complex slides	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N/A	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	27	1	0	2	

 Table 3
 Number of landslides per sliding mechanism and material displaced for the three study areas, the boxes indicate the most common landslide type in each study area

N/A means not available

Table 4Landslide dimensionsfor the three study areas

Characteristic	n	Average	s.d.	Min.	Max.						
Kabonero study area											
Landslide area (ha)	54	0.64	1.3	0.012	7.12						
Landslide length (m)	56	116	86	18	352						
Width main scarp (m)	57	45	51	5	266						
Depth main scarp (m)	16	2.3	1.9	0.7	8						
Mahango study area											
Landslide area (ha)	74	0.28	0.62	0.002	5.06						
Landslide length (m)	74	98.9	79.8	8	420						
Width main scarp (m)	79	30.3	31.2	3	193						
Depth main scarp (m)	37	1.7	1.2	0.4	5						
Bundibugyo study area											
Landslide area (ha)	142	1.05	1.55	0.017	10.6						
Landslide length (m)	143	144	115	10	670						
Width main scarp (m)	165	72	67	5	330						
Depth main scarp (m)	95	8	7.7	0.3	30						

n = number of landslides

each study area, the large standard deviation indicates a significant variation in individual landslide area. The landslide width to length ratio is larger in Bundibugyo uplands (0.6) and lowlands (0.7) than in Kabonero and Mahango (0.4) which is to be expected with a higher count of rotational slides in Bundibugyo. With an average depth of 8 m and a maximum depth of 30 m, landslides are much deeper in Bundibugyo than in Kabonero or Mahango. For a full report on frequency-size analysis of the mapped landslide we refer to Jacobs et al. (2016b).

When considering the controlling factors a set of lithological factors and topographic conditions were checked for their prevalence of slides (Jacobs et al. 2016b). In general, amphibolites were found not to be prone to landslides while the rift alluvium of the Bundibugyo lowlands was found to produce high landslide densities. Lithology furthermore significantly influenced the type of landslide occurring (Fig. 4). Rift Alluvium produces in general very deep rotational soil slides while in both Gneiss and Mica-schists, shallow translational soil slides are most commonly found. Concerning topography, again a distinction between the uplands of Bundibugyo, Mahango and Kabonero and the lowlands of Bundibugyo can be observed. In the upland regions (Kabonero, Mahango and the uplands of Bundibugyo) slope angles above 25° are most prone to landslides. This is in contrast to the Bundibugyo lowlands, where slope angles are in general much lower, and landslides concentrate on slopes above $10^{\circ}-15^{\circ}$. This is comparable to slope angles susceptible to landslides in similar lowland regions with clay-rich soils and subsoils (e.g. Ost et al. 2003).

Concerning triggering factors, over 95% of the landslides mapped over these 3 study areas was reported during the field surveys to be triggered by rainfall. However, in both Kabonero and Bundibugyo, six landslides were reported to be triggered by earthquakes (location, see Fig. 3). This confirms the earlier findings from the archive inventory.



Fig. 4 Landslide type distribution per lithology. As only 3 events on amphibolite were observed, this lithology was not included

In Kabonero the average size of co-seismic landslides is almost double (1.23 ha) of the average rainfall-triggered landslide size. An example of a co-seismic landslide in Kabonero is given in Fig. 2d. In both study areas the triggering earthquake events occurred in 1994 and 1966. These earthquakes are depicted in Fig. 2c with their location, magnitude (USGS 2015) and minimum and maximum zone of influence according to the following equation (Keefer 2002):

$$Log_{10}A = M - 3.46(\pm 0.47) \tag{1}$$

where M is the moment magnitude of the earthquakes (between 5.5 and 9.2) and A the area (km^2) potentially affected by co-seismic slides. From this figure it is clear that Bundibugyo and Kabonero lie within the zone of influence of these earthquakes.

Discussion

The results of these diverse inventories confirm that landslide mechanisms strongly depend on prevailing topographic and lithological conditions at the study areas investigated. While landslides are mostly shallow, translational, soil slides in the upper regions of the Rwenzori, dominated by shallow soils on steep slopes, deep rotational soil slides dominate on the much flatter region of the Bundibugyo lowlands. In these lowlands, limited slope gradients can already cause very deep (up to 30 m) landslides and landslide densities were found to be the highest of the regions investigated. While the most common trigger of landslides in this region is intense rainfall, seismic activity can also trigger mass wasting in their zones of influence.

Perspectives

Recent field work in the national park of the Rwenzori Mountains (January 2016) allowed to identify debris flows and rock falls commonly occurring around the peaks, from 4000 m a.s.l. up to the glaciated areas around 5000 m a.s.l. In this area, climate, vegetation and topography are not comparable to previously studied regions of the mountain range and (de)glaciation processes are expected to significantly influence both controlling and predisposing factors for the observed mass wasting processes. The recently assembled field inventory—together with aerial photograph and Google Earth analysis—is expected to increase our understanding of these events in the near future.

The study conducted so far is limited to the inhabited region below the national park. For future research, the recent availability of a TanDEM-X digital elevation model (5 m spatial resolution) and the increasing availability of very high resolution imagery through Google Earth will strongly improve the quality of landslide inventories in the entire Rwenzori region, including less accessible regions. Finally, the TanDEM-X DEM provides more detailed information on topography and structural morphology. This information is necessary for reliable landslide susceptibility analysis.

Conclusion

By combining archive inventories with field work a first comprehensive overview of landslides in this under-researched region was assembled. While a detailed archive inventory allows forming a first idea on landslide occurrence and triggering factors in an unstudied region, detailed field investigations enable identifying the prevalent sliding mechanisms and their strong dependency on lithology and topography. The largest landslide densities and deepest landslides were found in regions with relatively small slope gradients, while shallow, smaller landslides were found on very steep slopes underlain by metamorphic rock. Rainfall was identified as the main triggering factor for landslides but both the field-inventory as well as the archive inventory revealed that large earthquakes (M > 6) can also trigger landslides in the region, which are generally larger than the rainfall-triggered slides. Complementary to these

inventories, the analysis of freely available Google Earth imagery and detailed topographic information such as the TanDEM-X DEM is expected to further increase the understanding of landslide occurrence on the regional level.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by the Belgium Science Policy (BELSPO) through the AfReSlide project BR/121/A2/AfReSlide entitled 'Landslides in Equatorial Africa: Identifying culturally, technically and economically feasible resilience strategies' and by the the Flemish Interuniversity Council for Development Cooperation (VLIR-UOS) in the framework of the South Initiative project "Identification and analysis of land degradation hot spots, their socio-economic and physical controls and implications in the Mt Rwenzori region".

References

- Bauer FU, Karl M, Glasmacher UA et al (2012) The Rwenzori Mountains of western Uganda—aspects on the evolution of their remarkable morphology within the Albertine Rift. J Afr Earth Sci 73–74:44–56. doi:10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2012.07.001
- Brink AB, Bodart C, Brodsky L et al (2014) Anthropogenic pressure in East Africa—Monitoring 20 years of land cover changes by means of medium resolution satellite data. Int J Appl Earth Obs Geoinf 28:60–69. doi:10.1016/j.jag.2013.11.006
- Jacobs L, Dewitte O, Poesen J, Delvaux D, Thiery W, Kervyn M (2016a) The Rwenzori Mountains, a landslide-prone region? Landslides 13(3):519–536. doi:10.1007/s10346-015-0582-5
- Jacobs L, Dewitte O, Poesen J, Maes J, Mertens K, Sekajugo J, Kervyn M (2016b) Landslide characteristics and spatial distribution in the Rwenzori Mountains, Uganda. J Afr Earth Sci doi:10.1016/j. jafrearsci.2016.05.013
- Jagger P, Shively G (2014) Land use change, fuel use and respiratory health in Uganda. Energy Policy 67:713–726. doi:10.1016/j.enpol. 2013.11.068
- Keefer DK (2002) Investigating landslides caused by earthquakes-a historical review. Surv Geophys 23(6):473–510
- Knapen A, Kitutu MG, Poesen J et al (2006) Landslides in a densely populated county at the footslopes of Mount Elgon (Uganda): Characteristics and causal factors. Geomorphology 73:149–165. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.07.004

- Koehn D, Lindenfeld M, Rümpker G et al (2010) Active transsection faults in rift transfer zones: evidence for complex stress fields and implications for crustal fragmentation processes in the western branch of the East African Rift. Int J Earth Sci 99:1633–1642. doi:10.1007/s00531-010-0525-2
- Lindenfeld M, Rümpker G, Link K et al (2012) Fluid-triggered earthquake swarms in the Rwenzori region, East African Rift evidence for rift initiation. Tectonophysics 566–567:95–104. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2012.07.010
- Maes J, Kervyn M, de Hontheim A, Dewitte O, Jacobs L, Mertens K, Poesen J (2017) Landslide risk reduction measures: A review of practices and challenges for the tropics. Prog Phys Geogr, doi:10. 1177/0309133316689344
- Mertens K, Jacobs L, Maes J, Kabaseke C, Maertens M, Poesen J, Kervyn M, Vranken L (2016) The direct impact of landslides on household income in tropical regions: a case study from the Rwenzori Mountains in Uganda. Sci Total Environ 550:1032–1043
- Ost L, Van Den Eeckhaut M, Poesen J, Vanmaercke-Gottigny MC, Ost Lieven (2003) Characteristics and spatial distribution of large landslides in the Flemish Ardennes (Belgium). Zeitschrift fur Geomorphol N F 47:329–350
- Roller S, Wittmann H, Kastowski M, Hinderer M (2012) Erosion of the Rwenzori Mountains, East African Rift, from in situ-produced cosmogenic 10 Be. J Geophys Res 117:F03003. doi:10.1029/ 2011JF002117
- Simmons WC (1930) Local earth tremors recorded in February and March connected with an epicenter near Rwenzori. Geol. Surv. Dept. Uganda, Ann. Rep. for 1929: 33–37
- Statoids (2013) Administrative divisions of countries. http://www. statoids.com/uug.html. Accessed 15 April 2014
- Taylor RG, Mileham L, Tindimugaya C, Mwebembezi L (2009) Recent glacial recession and its impact on alpine riverflow in the Rwenzori Mountains of Uganda. J Afr Earth Sci 55:205–213. doi:10.1016/j. jafrearsci.2009.04.008
- UNESCO (1966) Earthquake Reconnaissance Mission, Uganda, The Toro earthquake of 20 March 1966. UNESCO. http://unesdoc. unesco.org/images/0000/000077/007799EB.pdf. Accessed 25 April 2014
- USGS (2014) Significant earthquake archive. Earthquake hazard program. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eqinthenews/. Accessed 4th December 2014
- USGS (2015) Earthquake archives. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/ earthquakes/search/. [on line] Accessed 31th July 2015