

On the Vertical Distribution of Carbon Monoxide and Methane in the Stratosphere

By M. NICOLET and W. PEETERMANS¹⁾

Abstract – The vertical distribution of the methane concentration in the stratosphere is related to its dissociation by two simultaneous daytime reactions with excited oxygen atoms O(¹D) and with OH radicals and depends on the stratospheric eddy diffusion coefficient.

Dissociation of CH₄ in the lower stratosphere leads to the production of CO molecules while in the upper stratosphere the photodissociation of CO₂ molecules is an additional process to the CO production.

In the upper stratosphere (40 ± 10 km) there is an equilibrium between the formation and destruction processes of carbon monoxide which leads to a minimum of its mixing ratio. There is an increase of the CO mixing ratio in the troposphere and mesosphere compared with that of the stratosphere.

The vertical distribution of the CO mixing ratio is closely related to the eddy diffusion coefficient in the whole stratosphere but the absolute values of the hydroxyl radical concentration also determine the values of the CO mixing ratio.

1. Introduction

Twenty years ago BATES and WITHERSPOON [5] showed that the oxidation of methane and carbon monoxide by collision processes is important in the terrestrial atmosphere. Methane is produced primarily by various bacterial decomposition processes leading to an average production (ROBINSON and ROBBINS [44]) of 1.5×10^9 tons-year⁻¹ or 3.4×10^{11} CH₄ molecules cm⁻² sec⁻¹. Since the total number of CH₄ molecules is about 3.2×10^{19} cm⁻² column, such a production corresponds to an estimated residence time of about 3 years (day and night).

If the various *daytime* destruction processes of CH₄ lead to the production of CO in the troposphere (MCCONNELL, MCELROY and WOFSY [32]) they correspond, with an average fractional volume concentration of 0.1 p.p.m.v. (ROBINSON and ROBBINS [44]) to an average residence time of 0.4 year for CO. The carbon monoxide molecules apparently cannot have a lifetime exceeding 0.1 year (WEINSTOCK and NIKI [50]; LEVY, II [30]). There are different ways to reduce the differences between the various results by increasing the methane production and by reducing the carbon monoxide destruction. However, it seems that a factor of two is still the possible error to be considered in the analysis of the atmospheric behavior of CH₄ and CO.

¹⁾ Institut d'Aéronomie, 3 Avenue Circulaire, B-1180 Brussels, Belgium.

2. Aeronomic reactions of methane

Methane, which is in mixing in the troposphere (BAINBRIDGE and HEIDT [3]; EHHALT [13]), is photodissociated in the mesosphere and is dissociated by oxidation processes in the stratosphere (see NICOLET [37]).

Atomic oxygen attacks methane through



which is endothermic by about 2 kcal, and is a slow reaction. But the reaction of CH_4 with atomic oxygen in the electronically excited ${}^1\text{D}$ state is rapid:



must have a rate coefficient $c_1^* = (3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ (YOUNG *et al.* [54]; DEMORE [10]; PARASKEVOPOULOS and CVETANOVIC [40]; GREENBERG and HEICKLEN [16]); more laboratory data are required in order to determine the exact value needed for aeronomic purposes.

The other important reaction in the stratosphere is



which has an activation energy less than 5 kcal (WILSON [52]). Recent laboratory measurements by GREINER [19] lead to

$$c_{2a} = 5.5 \times 10^{-12} e^{-1900/T} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

or

$$c_{2b} = 1.8 \times 10^{-13} T^{1/2} e^{-1740/T} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (5)$$

while WILSON [53] considers

$$c_{2c} = 4.8 \times 10^{-11} e^{-2500/T} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

All equations lead to about $10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at 300°K but equation (6) gives the lowest values at stratospheric temperatures. The first equation will be tentatively adopted; it corresponds approximatively to $5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at the stratopause and reaches about $5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ as minimum value.

In the lower stratosphere CO_2 is photodissociated at a very low rate and the production of CO corresponds to the total oxidation process of CH_4 by reactions (3) and (2).

As it is well known (cf. PRESSMAN and WARNECK [42]) the oxidation of carbon monoxide is attributed to



which has been observed between 300°K and 500°K (GREINER [18]; DRYER, NAEGELI and GLASSMAN [12]), with practically no activation energy. Thus, if we adopt

$$a_{36a} = (1.25 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (8)$$

we may consider that it corresponds to stratospheric conditions.

Evaluated rate data by WILSON [53] and by BAUCH *et al.* [6] lead to


$$a_{36b} = 5.1 \times 10^{-13} e^{-300/T} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (9)$$

and

$$a_{36c} = 9.3 \times 10^{-13} e^{-540/T} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}, \quad (10)$$


respectively. The last equation leads to smaller values than equations (8) and (9) at 200°K. In any case, precise values of the rate coefficient of reaction (7) are required between 200°K and 300°K for an exact aeronomic analysis of the stratosphere.

Methyl radicals, which are produced by oxidation processes of CH₄, react immediately with molecular oxygen to form methylperoxy radicals.




The rate coefficient, according to MCMILLAN and CALVERT [33], would be of the order of $10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ at room temperature. Recent measurements (VAN DEN BERGH and CALLEAR [49] and BASCO *et al.* [4]) of the rate of the combination reaction of methyl radicals and molecular oxygen at 295°K lead at high pressure to the limiting values 2×10^{-12} and $5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Further laboratory work is needed since exact values of the concentration of methylperoxy radicals are required for a complete aeronomic analysis.

The reaction of CH₃ with atomic oxygen




is negligible in the stratosphere compared with reaction (11).


Methylperoxy radicals react with oxides of nitrogen (SPICER *et al.* [48]) leading to



or




as regards NO. The production of methylperoxynitrite would be about 1.5 times the simultaneous production of formaldehyde and nitrous acid. In the same way the reactions with NO₂ are



Here the production of formaldehyde and nitric acid would be about three times the production of methylperoxynitrate. According to SPICER *et al.* [48] there would be no evidence for the reaction between CH₃O₂ and NO producing CH₃O + NO₂ which is introduced by LEVY [30]. Nevertheless, there is practically no difference when all aeronomic processes are considered.

The photochemistry of formaldehyde (MCGUIGG and CALVERT [34]) indicates that two distinct primary processes occur



which lead to the production of formyl and carbon monoxide with atomic and molecular hydrogen, respectively.


The photodissociation coefficients of H_2CO based on recently published cross-sections (CALVERT *et al.* [8]) are at zero optical depth

$$J_{\text{H-HCO}} = 9.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (16a)$$

and

$$J_{\text{H}_2-\text{CO}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (16b)$$

A rapid reaction such as



with a rate coefficient (MORRIS and NIKI [35]), at 300°K,

$$c_8 = 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}, \quad (18)$$

requires OH concentrations of the order of 10^7 cm^{-3} to compete with the direct photodissociation.

The reaction of atomic oxygen with formaldehyde leads also to HCO



with a rate coefficient (NIKI *et al.* [39]; HERRON and PENZHORN [23]), at 300°K,

$$c_9 = 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

The reaction of atomic hydrogen with formaldehyde is less rapid,




since its rate coefficient is only (RIDLEY *et al.* [43]) at 297°K

$$c_{10} = (5.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

The formyl radical is rapidly photodissociated (JOHNS *et al.* [25])






or reacts with O_2 (MCMILLAN and CALVERT [33])



or reacts with OH (MORRIS and NIKI [35])



Even if the reaction between OH and HCO is rapid ($1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, according to MORRIS and NIKI [35], reaction (24) should be very important in the atmosphere. Other reactions may be introduced (cf. LEVY [30]) such as



Thus, with equations (1) to (32), it is possible to write for equilibrium conditions that

$$\begin{aligned} n(\text{H}_2\text{CO}) [J_{\text{H-HCO}} + J_{\text{H}_2-\text{CO}} + c_8 n(\text{OH}) + c_9 n(\text{O}) + c_{10} n(\text{H})] \\ = n(\text{CH}_4) [c_1 n(\text{O}) + c_1^* n(\text{O}^*) + c_2 n(\text{OH})] \\ = n(\text{HCO}) [J_{\text{H-CO}} + c_{12} n(\text{O}_2) + c_{13} n(\text{OH})] + n(\text{H}_2\text{CO}) J_{\text{H}_2-\text{CO}}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

If we consider the various reactions involving the production and loss mechanisms of H, OH and HO₂, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn(\text{H})}{dt} + \frac{dn(\text{OH})}{dt} + \frac{dn(\text{HO}_2)}{dt} + \dots \\ + n(\text{OH}) [c_2 n(\text{CH}_4) + 2c_{13} n(\text{HCO}) + 2c_{17} n(\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2\text{H})] = + \dots \\ + n(\text{CH}_4) [c_1 n(\text{O}) + c_1^* n(\text{O}^*)] + 2n(\text{H}_2\text{CO}) [J_{\text{H-HCO}} + c_9 n(\text{O})] \\ + n(\text{CH}_3\text{O}) c_{15} n(\text{O}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The steady-state conditions with equations (33) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \dots + n(\text{OH}) c_2 n(\text{CH}_4) [1 - X] + 2n(\text{OH}) [c_{13} n(\text{HCO}) + c_{17} n(\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2\text{H})] = \dots + \\ \dots + n(\text{CH}_4) [c_1 n(\text{O}) + c_1^* n(\text{O}^*)] [1 + X] + n(\text{CH}_3\text{O}) c_{15} n(\text{O}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

with

$$X = \frac{2J_{\text{H-CO}} + c_9 n(\text{O})}{J_{\text{H-HCO}} + J_{\text{H}_2-\text{CO}} + c_8 n(\text{OH}) + c_9 n(\text{O}) + c_{10} n(\text{H})}. \quad (36)$$

The ratio $X \simeq 2J_{\text{H-HCO}}/J_{\text{H}_2\text{CO}}$ varies from 0.8 at 50 km to 0.7 near 15 km and is about 0.55 at ground level.

3. Ratio of hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals concentrations

If equilibrium conditions are considered for H and HO₂, the following equation (see for example NICOLET [38]) is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} n(\text{HO}_2) [a_7 n(\text{O}) + a_{17} n(\text{OH}) + 2a_{27} n(\text{HO}_2) + a_{26} n(\text{NO}) + c_{16} n(\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2)] \\ \simeq n(\text{OH}) [a_5 n(\text{O}) + a_{19} n(\text{H}_2) + a_{30} n(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) + a_{36} n(\text{CO}) + \\ c_8 n(\text{H}_2\text{CO}) + c_2 X n(\text{CH}_4)]. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$


The ratio $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH})$ depends on reactions with atomic oxygen in the upper part of the stratosphere, i.e.

$$\frac{n(\text{HO}_2)}{n(\text{OH})} = \frac{a_5}{a_7} \quad (38)$$

and depends on reactions with NO and CO at the tropopause level, i.e.

$$\frac{n(\text{HO}_2)}{n(\text{OH})} = \frac{a_{36} n(\text{CO})}{a_{26} n(\text{NO})}. \quad (39)$$

The process




has a rate coefficient between 293°K and 300°K

$$a_5 = 3 \text{ to } 7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (41)$$


according to laboratory measurement (CLYNE and THRUSH [9]; KAUFMAN [26], [27]; BREEN and GLASS [7]).

The other reaction



has not been measured in the laboratory. Considering the work of FONER and HUDSON [15], KAUFMAN [26] suggested that $a_7 \geq 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. The values of the rate coefficients a_5 and a_7 , which are generally adopted leads to $a_5/a_7 = 5$. We consider here two ratios $a_5/a_7 = 9$ and 1 in order to indicate that the ratio is not known with sufficient precision.

In the lower stratosphere hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals are involved in other important reactions with minor constituents which do not belong to the hydrogen-oxygen atmosphere; CO and NO must be considered since they can react with OH and HO₂. Among all possible reactions (NICOLET [36] [37] [38]; LEVY [29] [30]; MCCONNELL *et al.* [32]) it seems that the following reactions are the most important processes at the tropopause,



The rate coefficient of reaction (44) is known with a certain approximation for stratospheric conditions (cf. equations (7) and (8))

$$a_{36} \simeq (1.25 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (45)$$

The rate coefficient of reaction (43) is not known with sufficient precision but according to unpublished data (DAVIS, private com.; see LEVY, [30],

$$a_{26} > 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (46)$$

at 300°K.

Precise experimental determination of the rate coefficients a_{26} and a_{36} are needed for an exact aeronomic analysis of the lower stratosphere. With fractional volume concentrations for CO and NO of the order of 4×10^{-8} and 4×10^{-9} , respectively, it is clear that $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH}) > 10$ if a_{26} has an activation energy. Nevertheless, we adopt two ratios $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH}) = 9$ and 1 keeping in mind that the ratio varies from the tropopause to the stratosphere and could be greater than 10 in the lower stratosphere. In any case, the ratio $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH})$ cannot be evaluated without an exact knowledge of the nitric oxide concentration in the lower stratosphere, since the reaction $\text{CO} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{OH}$ is neglected. This reaction is very slow according to recent laboratory results (SIMONAITIS and DAVIS, private communications). See, however, WESTENBERG [51].

4. Concentration of hydroxyl radicals

Since the reaction between CO and OH is a destruction process of carbon monoxide, it is important to determine the concentration of OH radicals.

For equilibrium conditions, neglecting the effects of H, OH, HCO and $\text{CH}_3\text{O}_2\text{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} n(\text{OH}) \left[a_{17} n(\text{HO}_2) + a_{30} n(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) + c_2 \left\{ \frac{1-X}{2} \right\} n(\text{CH}_4) \right] \\ = \left[n(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + n(\text{H}_2) + n(\text{CH}_4) \left\{ \frac{1+X}{2} \right\} \right] a^* n(\text{O}^*) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where X is given by (36).


An accurate evaluation (HOCHANADEL *et al.* [24]) of the rate coefficient of the reaction



leads to

$$a_{17} = 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (49)$$

As far as the reaction with H_2O_2 is concerned



its rate coefficient (GREINER [17]) is given by

$$a_{30} = 4 \times 10^{-13} T^{1/2} e^{-600/T} \quad (51)$$

Finally,

$$a^* = (3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (52)$$

is an average coefficient of the reactions (YOUNG *et al.* [54]; DONOVAN *et al.* [11]; PARASKEVOPOULOS and CVETANOVIC [41]; HEICKLEN *et al.* [22])



Calculation has been made here with the following approximation

$$n(\text{OH}) n(\text{HO}_2) = 5 \times 10^{-6} n(\text{M}) n[\text{O}(^1\text{D})]. \quad (54)$$

where $n(\text{M})$ is the total concentration. The atmospheric parameters (temperature and concentration) are taken from NICOLET [38]. Numerical results which are shown in




Figure 1

Concentrations of the hydroxyl radical in the stratosphere for solar conditions corresponding to solar zenith angle 0° ($\sec \chi = 1$) and 60° ($\sec \chi = 2$) and arbitrary ratios $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH}) = 1$ and 9

Fig. 1 indicate that $n(\text{OH}) \geq 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; an error of not less than a factor of two must be accepted, since far too little is known about the exact values of the rate coefficients. Average values corresponding to $\sec \chi = 2$ (solar zenith angle = 60°) will be used in the study of the stratospheric behavior of CH_4 and CO .

5. Discussions and results

The basic equations used to calculate the variations in the concentrations of carbon monoxide and methane are

$$\frac{\partial n(\text{CO})}{\partial t} + L(\text{CO}) + \frac{\partial \Phi(\text{CO})}{\partial r} = P(\text{CO}) \quad (55)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial n(\text{CH}_4)}{\partial t} + L(\text{CH}_4) + \frac{\partial \Phi(\text{CH}_4)}{\partial r} = 0 \quad (56)$$

where P represents the production, L is the loss and Φ corresponds to the transport flux by eddy diffusion.

The eddy diffusion current is given by

$$\Phi_i = n_i w_i = n_i K \left[\frac{1}{H_i} - \frac{1}{H} \right] \quad (57)$$

where n_i is the concentration of the i th constituent, H_i its scale height. H denotes the atmospheric scale height and K is the eddy diffusion coefficient. H_i and H are given by

$$-\frac{1}{H_i} = \frac{1}{n_i} \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \quad (58)$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{H} = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}, \quad (59)$$

respectively.

The reactions which affect CH_4 destruction are reactions (2) and (3) involving excited atoms $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ in the upper part of the stratosphere and OH radicals in the lower part of the stratosphere. The production reactions which are significant in the stratosphere for carbon monoxide are the oxidation processes of methane in the major part of the stratosphere and the photodissociation of carbon dioxide in the upper stratosphere. The destruction process by a three-body association of CO and O is not important compared with the reaction (44) between CO and OH .

Considering steady-state conditions, equations (55) and (56) can be written

$$n(\text{CO}_2) J_{\text{CO-O}} + [c_1^* n(\text{O}^*) + c_2 n(\text{OH})] n(\text{CH}_4) = \frac{\partial n(\text{CO}) w(\text{CO})}{\partial r} + a_{36} n(\text{CO}) n(\text{OH}) \quad (60)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial n(\text{CH}_4) w(\text{CH}_4)}{\partial r} + [c_1^* n(\text{O}^*) + c_2 n(\text{OH})] n(\text{CH}_4) = 0 \quad (61)$$

The lower boundary conditions are determined at the tropopause level by concentrations corresponding to certain mixing ratios of CH_4 and CO . A vanishing flux is adopted in the thermosphere for CH_4 and photoequilibrium conditions are used for CO at the stratosphere level.




Figure 2

Assumed profiles for the eddy diffusion coefficients, K_{\min} , K_{\max} , 10^3 , 2×10^3 , 5×10^3 , 10^4 and 2×10^4 $\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1}$




Figure 3

Production of CO ($\text{cm}^{-3} \text{sec}^{-1}$) by photodissociation of CO_2 , reactions of $\text{O}(^1\text{D})$ and OH with CH_4 for a maximum of the eddy diffusion coefficient, K_{\max} and solar conditions, $\text{sec } \chi = 2$

The coefficient of vertical diffusion is known to vary with latitude (GUDIKSEN *et al.* [20]), and in order to consider various possibilities, we have adopted not only constant eddy diffusion coefficient between 10^3 and 10^4 $\text{cm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1}$, but also two extreme conditions where the eddy diffusion coefficient varies with height (see Fig. 2 for K_{\min} and K_{\max}). Furthermore, the stratospheric distribution of CH_4 is also sensitive to the average solar conditions which are adopted. This must be kept in mind since average conditions vary with latitude and seasons.

Considering the number of photons available at the top of the earth's atmosphere (cf. ACKERMAN [1]) and the absorption cross sections measured by SHEMANSKY [47] the photodissociation coefficient of CO_2 can be determined. With a CO_2 mixing ratio of 320 p.p.m.v. the photodissociation rate corresponds to a CO production rate of $5 \times$




Figure 4

Production rates of $\text{CO}(\text{cm}^{-3} \text{sec}^{-1})$ for different eddy diffusion coefficients, K_{\min} and K_{\max} and for ratios $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH}) = 9$ and 1




Figure 5

Fractional volume concentrations of methane for two extreme conditions of the eddy diffusion coefficient, K_{\min} and K_{\max} . Observational results are indicated

10^2 molecules $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{sec}^{-1}$ at the stratopause. The result is given in Fig. 3 where the CO production by oxidation of CH_4 is also shown. In the lower part of the stratosphere the effect of hydroxyl radicals is important while the reaction of the excited oxygen atoms plays its role in the middle stratosphere. As far as the photodissociation of CO_2 is concerned, it must be introduced at the stratopause. Finally, photochemical equilibrium conditions can be accepted in the upper part of the stratosphere.

The average conditions for the production of CO molecules are presented in Fig. 4 where it can be seen that there is an important difference when the eddy diffusion coefficient varies from K_{min} to K_{max} . However, the effect of the photodissociation of CO_2 decrease the effect due to the difference in the oxidation of CH_4 . The two corresponding




Figure 6

Fractional volume concentration of methane for various constant values of the eddy diffusion coefficient $K = 10^3$ to 10^4 compared with K_{min} and K_{max}




Figure 7

Upward current of CH_4 molecules in the stratosphere for extreme conditions of the eddy diffusion coefficient K_{min} and K_{max} . Solar conditions correspond to solar zenith angle of 60° ($\sec \chi = 2$)

vertical distributions of the mixing ratios of CH_4 are illustrated in Fig. 5 which shows the importance of the adopted values from the eddy diffusion coefficient. The recent observational results (EHHALT *et al.* [14]; ACKERMAN *et al.* [2]) show (see Fig. 5 and 6) that relatively high eddy diffusion coefficients are required to explain the observations. They agree with the first observations of BAINBRIDGE and HEIDT [3]. However, since tropospheric variations are observed (EHHALT [13]) more stratospheric observations

are needed before determining the exact profile of the eddy diffusion coefficient which is required to explain the vertical distribution of CH_4 .

In any case, it seems that the upward current of CH_4 molecules (Fig. 7) could not be less than 5×10^9 molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ which corresponds to a stratospheric production of at least 5×10^9 CO molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ and not less than 10^{10} H_2O molecules $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$.

As far as carbon monoxide is concerned the conditions in the neighborhood of the stratopause are determined by photochemical equilibrium conditions (HAYS and OLIVERO [21]). Examples of fractional volume concentrations of CO are given in Fig. 8 above 30 km. Below the stratopause the mixing ratio decreases with the decrease of the




Figure 8

Fractional volume concentration of carbon monoxide above 30 km for various constant values of the eddy diffusion coefficient

eddy diffusion coefficient since the effect of the reaction $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4$ becomes less and less important.

In the lower stratosphere there is a rapide decrease (SEILER and JUNGE [45]) of the carbon monoxide concentration compared with the tropospheric concentration. It corresponds according to recent results of SEILER and WARNECK [46] to a difference in the mixing ratio from more than 10^{-7} below the tropopause to about $5-6 \times 10^{-8}$ above the tropopause.

Various calculations have been made for different lower boundary conditions. Examples shown in Figs. 9 and 10 correspond to the two extreme values of the eddy diffusion coefficients K_{\min} and K_{\max} . The fractional volume concentration of CO is between 10^{-8} and 10^{-7} when a high value of the eddy diffusion coefficient is adopted; it decreases to less than 10^{-8} if a small value of the eddy diffusion coefficient is adopted in the upper stratosphere.

If it is assumed that there is no upward current of CO molecules at the tropopause (here 10 km) the lower boundary conditions are determined since they must correspond

to mixing conditions. The vertical distribution of the mixing ratio (10^{-8} at 10 km) corresponding to such conditions may be compared (see Figs. 9 and 10) with the vertical distribution of that corresponding to lower boundary values of 5×10^{-8} and 10^{-7} .




Figure 9

Fractional volume concentration of carbon monoxide in the stratosphere for high values of the eddy diffusion coefficient (K_{\max}). The lower boundary conditions are 10^{-7} , 5×10^{-8} and mixing conditions (10^{-8})




Figure 10

Fractional volume concentration of carbon monoxide in the stratosphere for low values of the eddy diffusion coefficient, K_{\min} . The lower boundary conditions are 10^{-7} , 5×10^{-8} and mixing conditions (10^{-8})

Since the observations of SEILER and WARNECK [46] show that the mixing ratio of carbon monoxide above the tropopause is more than the equilibrium value ($\sim 10^{-8}$) and less than the tropospheric value ($\geq 10^{-7}$), there must be an upward current of CO molecules at the tropopause in addition to the production by the oxidation of CH_4 molecules. Depending on the ratio $n(\text{HO}_2)/n(\text{OH})$ and on the exact value of the eddy diffusion coefficient, the average upward current of CO molecules would be between

$5 \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $2 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, i.e. not less than the injection of CH_4 molecules into the stratosphere. In any case, it seems that the vertical distribution of the carbon monoxide in the lower stratosphere is related to the upward current of CO and CH_4 molecules through the tropopause and, in the upper stratosphere, depends on photochemical equilibrium conditions in which OH radicals are involved.

Since our knowledge of tropospheric CO concentrations is still incomplete, with fractional volume concentration varying from an average of 0.14 p.p.m.v. in the northern hemisphere to an average of 0.06 p.p.m.v. in the southern hemisphere (ROBINSON and ROBBINS [44]), the stratospheric behavior can only be understood for average conventional conditions. Detailed calculations require very precise atmospheric data since the average short lifetime of tropospheric carbon monoxide must lead to wide fluctuations of its concentration.


Finally, all processes must be introduced in order to determine the exact aeronomic behavior of the hydroxyl radicals. For example, the effect of nitric acid, which has been neglected here, could be introduced in a complete study. If the steady state conditions of HNO_3 in the stratosphere are given by the simple equation (NICOLET [38])

$$n(\text{HNO}_3) = \frac{b_{22} n(\text{M}) n(\text{OH}) n(\text{NO}_2)}{J_{\text{OH-NO}_2} + b_{27} n(\text{OH})} \quad (62)$$

where the loss processes are the photodissociation



and the reaction with OH



and the principal process of formation



There is a final loss process of OH radicals due to the reactions of HNO_3 which is given by

$$\frac{1}{n(\text{OH})} \frac{dn(\text{OH})}{dt} = -2b_{27} n(\text{HNO}_3) \quad (66)$$

Since the rate coefficient b_{27} should be about 1000 times less than a_{17} , the rate coefficient of the reaction (48) between OH and HO_2 , $n(\text{HNO}_3) \geq 1000 n(\text{HO}_2)$ would play a role in the determination of OH in equation (47). Thus, a special study of the behavior of nitrogen oxides is of special interest in the hydrogen-oxygen stratosphere.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ACKERMAN, *Ultraviolet Solar Radiation Related to Mesospheric Processes*, in *Mesospheric Models and Related Experiments*, edited by FIOCCO (Reidel Cy, Dordrecht 1971), pp. 149-159.
- [2] M. ACKERMAN, D. FRIMOUT, C. LIPPENS and C. MULLER, *Détermination de la distribution verticale du méthane stratosphérique par spectrométrie infrarouge en ballon*, Bul. Acad. Roy. Belgique, Cl. Sc. 58 (1972), 503-511.

- [3] A. E. BAINBRIDGE and L. E. HEIDT, *Measurement of methane in the troposphere and lower stratosphere*, *Tellus* 18 (1966), 221–225.
- [4] N. BASCO, D. G. L. JAMES and F. C. JAMES, *A kinetic study of the combination reaction: CH₃ + O₂*, *Chem. Phys. Letters* 8 (1971), 265–266.
- [5] D. R. BATES and A. E. WITHERSPOON, *The photochemistry of some minor constituents of the earth's atmosphere*, *Monthly Notices R. Astr. Soc.* 112 (1952), 101–124.
- [6] D. L. BAULCH, D. D. DRYSDALE and A. C. LLOYD, *Critical Evaluation of Rate Data for Homogeneous Gas Phase Reactions of Interest in High Temperature Systems*, in *High Temperature Reaction Rate Data*, (University of Leeds, England, No. 1, 1968).
- [7] J. E. BREEN and G. P. GLASS, *Rates of some hydroxyl radical reactions*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 52 (1970), 1082–1086.
- [8] J. G. CALVERT, J. A. KERR, K. L. DEMERJIAN and R. D. MCQUIGG, *Photolysis of formaldehyde as a hydrogen atom source in the lower atmosphere*, *Science* 175 (1972), 751–752.
- [9] M. A. A. CLYNE and B. A. THRUSH, *Rates of elementary processes in the chain reaction between hydrogen and oxygen. I. Reactions of oxygen atoms*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A275* (1963), 544–558.
- [10] W. J. DE MORE, *Reaction of O(¹D) with hydro-carbons in liquid argon*, *J. Phys. Chem.* 73 (1969), 391–395.
- [11] R. J. DONOVAN, D. HUSAIN and L. J. KIRSCH, *Reactions of oxygen atoms. Part II. Relative rate data for the quenching of O(2¹D₂) using the OH radical as a spectroscopic marker*, *Chem. Phys. Letters* 6 (1970), 488–490.
- [12] F. DRYER, D. NAEGELI and I. GLASSMAN, *Temperature dependence of the reaction CO + OH = CO₂ + H*, *Combustion and Flame* 17 (1971), 270–272.
- [13] D. H. EHHALT, *Methane in the Atmosphere*, *J. Air Pollution Control Assoc.* 17 (1967), 518–519.
- [14] D. H. EHHALT, L. E. HEIDT and E. A. MARTELL, *The concentration of atmospheric methane between 44 and 62 kilometers altitude*, *J. Geophys. Res.* 77 (1972), 2193–2196.
- [15] S. N. FONER and R. L. HUDSON, *Mass spectrometry of the HO₂ free radical*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 36 (1962), 2681–2688.
- [16] R. I. GREENBERG and J. HEICKLEN, *The reaction of O(¹D) with CH₄*, *Pennstate Univ. Ionosph. Res. Lab., Sc. Rep. No. 383* (1972).
- [17] N. R. GREINER, *Hydroxyl radical kinetics by kinetic spectroscopy. III. Reactions with H₂O₂ in the range 300–450°K*, *J. Phys. Chem.* 72 (1968), 406–410.
- [18] N. R. GREINER, *Hydroxyl radical kinetics by kinetic spectroscopy. V. Reactions with H₂ and CO in the range 300–500°K*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 51 (1969), 5049–5051.
- [19] N. R. GREINER, *Hydroxyl radical kinetics by kinetic spectroscopy. VI. Reactions with alkanes in the range 300–500°K*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 53 (1970), 1070–1076.
- [20] P. H. GUDIJKSEN, A. W. FAIRHALL and R. J. REED, *Roles of mean meridional circulation and eddy diffusion in the transport of trace substances in the lower stratosphere*, *J. Geophys. Res.* 73 (1968), 4461–4473.
- [21] P. B. HAYS and J. J. OLIVERO, *Carbon dioxide and monoxide above the troposphere*, *Plan. Space Sc.* 18 (1970), 1729–1733.
- [22] J. HEICKLEN, P. SIMONAITIS, R. GREENBERG, D. KREZENSKI, R. GOLDMAN and E. LISSI, *Reactions of oxygen atoms O(¹D)*, to be published 1972.
- [23] J. T. HERRON and R. D. PENZHORN, *Mass spectrometric study of the reactions of atomic oxygen with ethylene and formaldehyde*, *J. Phys. Chem.* 73 (1969), 191–196.
- [24] C. J. HOCHANADEL, J. A. GHORMLEY and P. J. OGREN, *Absorption spectrum and reaction kinetics of the HO₂ radical in the gas phase*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 56 (1972), 4426–4432.
- [25] J. W. C. JOHNS, S. H. PRIDDLE and D. A. RAMSAY, *Electronic absorption spectra of HCO and DCO radicals*, *Disc. Faraday Soc.* 35 (1963), 90–104.
- [26] F. KAUFMAN, *Aeronomical reactions involving hydrogen. A review of recent laboratory studies*, *Ann. Géophys.* 20 (1964), 106–114.
- [27] F. KAUFMAN, *Neutral reactions involving hydrogen and other minor constituents*, *Canadian J. Chem.* 47 (1969), 1917–1924.
- [28] T. G. KYLE, D. G. MURCRAY, F. H. MURCRAY and W. J. WILLIAMS, *Abundance of methane in the atmosphere above 20 kilometers*, *J. Geophys. Res.* 74 (1969), 3421–3425.

- [29] G. LEVY, II, *Normal atmosphere: Large radical and formaldehyde concentrations predicted*, Science 173 (1970), 141–143.
- [30] H. LEVY, II, *Photochemistry of the lower troposphere*, Plan. Space Sci. 20 (1972), 919–935.
- [31] H. LEVY, II, *Photochemistry of minor constituents in the troposphere*, Plan. Space Sci. to be published.
- [32] J. C. MCCONNELL, M. B. MCELROY and S. C. WOFSEY, *Natural sources of atmospheric CO*, Nature 233 (1971), 187–188.
- [33] G. R. McMILLAN and J. G. CALVERT, *Gas phase photooxidation*, Oxidation Combustion Rev. 1 (1965), 83–135.
- [34] R. D. MCQUIGG and J. G. CALVERT, *The photodecomposition of CH₂O, CD₂O, CHDO and CH₂O-CD₂O mixtures at xenon flash lamp intensities*, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91 (1969), 1590–1599.
- [35] E. D. MORRIS and H. NIKI, *Mass spectrophotometry study of the reaction of hydroxyl radical with formaldehyde*, J. Chem. Phys. 55 (1971), 1991–1992.
- [36] M. NICOLET, *Ozone and hydrogen reactions*, Ann. Géophys. 26 (1970), 531–546.
- [37] M. NICOLET, *Aeronomical reactions of hydrogen and ozone*, Aeronomica Acta A No. 79, 1970 and in *Mesospheric Models and Related Experiments*, (Reidel Cy, Dordrecht 1971), pp. 1–51.
- [38] M. NICOLET, *Aeronomical chemistry of the stratosphere*, Plan. Space. Sc., 20, 1972.
- [39] H. NIKI, E. E. DABY and B. WEINSTOCK, *Reaction of atomic oxygen with methyl radical*, J. Chem. Phys. 48 (1968), 5729–5730.
- [40] G. PARASKEVOPOULOS and R. J. CVETANOVIC, *Competitive reactions of the excited oxygen atoms, O(¹D)*, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91 (1969), 7572–7577.
- [41] G. PARASKEVOPOULOS and R. J. CVETANOVIC, *Relative rate of reaction of O(¹D₂) with H₂O*, Chem. Phys. Letters 9 (1971), 603–605.
- [42] J. PRESSMAN and P. WARNECK, *The stratosphere as a chemical sink for carbon monoxide*, J. Atm. Sc. 27 (1970), 155–163.
- [43] B. A. RIDLEY, J. A. DAVENPORT, L. J. STIEF and K. H. WELGE, *Absolute rate constant for the reaction H + H₂CO*, J. Chem. Phys. 57 (1972), 520–523.
- [44] E. ROBINSON and R. C. ROBBINS, *Emissions, Concentrations and Fate of Gaseous Atmospheric Pollutants*, in *Air Pollution Control, Part II*, edited by STRAUSS, (John Wiley and Sons, New York 1971), pp. 1–93.
- [45] W. SEILER and C. JUNGE, *Decrease of carbon monoxide mixing ratio above the polar tropopause*, Tellus 21 (1969), 447–449.
- [46] W. SEILER and P. WARNECK, *Decrease of the carbon monoxide mixing ratio at the tropopause*, J. Geophys. Res. 77 (1972), 3204–3214.
- [47] D. E. SHEMANSKY, *CO₂ extinction coefficient 1700–3000 Å*, J. Chem. Phys. 56 (1972), 1582–1587.
- [48] C. W. SPICER, A. VILLA, H. A. WIEBE and J. HEICKLEN, *The reactions of methylperoxy radicals with NO and NO₂*, Pennsylvania State University Cent. Air. Env. Studies, Report No. 233–71, 1972.
- [49] H. E. VAN DEN BERGH and A. B. CALLEAR, *Spectroscopic measurement of the rate of the gas-phase combination of methyl radicals with nitric oxide and oxygen at 295°K*, Trans. Faraday Soc. 67 (1971), 2017–2024.
- [50] B. WEINSTOCK and H. NIKI, *Carbon monoxide balance in nature*, Science 176 (1972), 290–292.
- [51] A. A. WESTENBERG, *Carbon monoxide and nitric oxide consumption in polluted air: The carbon monoxide-hydroperoxyl reaction*, Science 177 (1972), 255–256.
- [52] W. E. WILSON, *Activation energies for hydroxyl radical abstraction reactions*, J. Chem. Phys. 53 (1970), 1300–1301.
- [53] W. E. WILSON, *A critical review of the gas-phase reaction kinetics of the hydroxyl radical*, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1, 1972.
- [54] R. A. YOUNG, G. BLACK and T. G. SLANGER, *Reaction and deactivation of O(¹D)*, J. Chem. Phys. 49 (1968), 4758–4768.