# SATELLITE VALIDATION OF TROPOMI-SO $_2$ OVER THE BALKAN REGION BY AIRBORNE SO $_2$ MEASUREMENTS OF COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS

Heidi Huntrieser<sup>1</sup>, Theresa Klausner<sup>1</sup>, Heinfried Aufmhoff<sup>1</sup>, Robert Baumann<sup>1</sup>, Alina Fiehn<sup>1</sup>, Klaus-Dirk Gottschaldt<sup>1</sup>, Pascal Hedelt<sup>2</sup>, Ronny Lutz<sup>2</sup>, Sanja Mrazovac Kurilić<sup>3</sup>, Zorica Podraščanin<sup>4</sup>, Predrag Ilić<sup>5</sup>, Nicolas Theys<sup>6</sup>, Patrick Jöckel<sup>1</sup>, Diego Loyola<sup>2</sup>, Ismail Makroum<sup>1</sup>, Mariano Mertens<sup>1</sup>, and Anke Roiger<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt (DLR), Institut für Methodik der Fernerkundung, Oberpfaffenhofen, Germany

<sup>3</sup> University "Union-Nikola Tesla", Faculty of Ecology and Environmental Protection, Belgrade, Serbia

<sup>4</sup> University of Novi Sad, Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

<sup>5</sup> Institute for Protection and Ecology of the Republic of Srpska, Banja Luka, Bosnia-Herzegovina

<sup>6</sup> Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Brussels, Belgium

Heidi.Huntrieser@dlr.de

#### **Abstract**

The first airborne in situ measurements of sulphur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ) emissions (plumes) from two coal-fired power plants in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Tuzla) and Serbia (Nikola Tesla) were carried out with the German research aircraft *Falcon-20* in cooperation with local partners during the METHANE-To-Go field experiment in autumn 2020. Downwind of the power plants,  $SO_2$  mixing ratios exceeding 100 ppb were measured in a distance ~20-40 km from the sources. The plumes were trapped in well-defined inversion layers between ~500-1000 m altitude. Our airborne measurements can be used to validate synchronously, spaceborne  $SO_2$  measurements from the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) onboard the Sentinel-5P satellite. A first intercomparison indicates some problems with dense smoke clouds frequently covering these countries

in winter. However, one part of the Nikola Tesla flight is well suited for TRO-POMI-SO<sub>2</sub> validation, since it was obtained during cloud-free conditions with a well-defined vertical extension of the probed SO<sub>2</sub> plume (needed to estimate the Vertical Column Density, VCD). These airborne measurements and model simulations can also be used to determine the SO<sub>2</sub> emission strength of the power plants. First estimates (mass balance approach) show that the SO<sub>2</sub> mass flux from Tuzla is about twice as high as indicated by common emission inventories.

**Keywords:** sulphur dioxide emissions, power plants, airborne measurements, satellite validation, Balkans

#### INTRODUCTION

Recent results from spaceborne measurements indicate that the Balkans is a hot spot region for anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Europe (Theys et al., 2015; Fioletov et al., 2017, 2020; Liu et al., 2018). These emissions mainly originate from a few coal-fired power plants located in Bosnia-Herzegovina and Serbia. The Serbian power plants, Nikola Tesla, are ranked on position number 18 of the world's strongest sources of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution according to Dahiya and Myllyvirta (2019), whereas the Bosnia-Herzegovina power plant Tuzla ranks on position number 25.

Here we report on the first airborne in situ measurements in this region carried out by the German Aerospace Center (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt, DLR).

#### FIELD CAMPAIGN AND DATA

The DLR-funded field experiment METHANE-To-Go-Europe, with the objective to investigate anthropogenic methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and sulfur dioxide sources (SO<sub>2</sub>), was carried out in October and November 2020 together with local partners in the Balkans (<a href="https://www.dlr.de/pa/en/desktopdefault.aspx/ta-bid-2342/6725\_read-70275/">https://www.dlr.de/pa/en/desktopdefault.aspx/ta-bid-2342/6725\_read-70275/</a>). The focus of the CH<sub>4</sub> measurements was on emissions from off-shore gas facilities in the Adriatic Sea, whereas the focus of the SO<sub>2</sub> measurements was on emissions from coal-fired power plants in the Balkans. Here we only report on results from the latter objective.

Airborne in situ measurements were carried out with the German research aircraft *Falcon-20* of the DLR, which was equipped with a Chemical Ionization Ion-Trap Mass Spectrometer (CI-ITMS) (accuracy: ~15%; detection limit ~50 ppt; temporal resolution: ~3 s; Speidel et al., 2007) and meteorological instru-

mentation (Huntrieser et al., 2016). Two flights were dedicated to power plant emissions, a flight to Bosnia-Herzegovina (Tuzla) on 2<sup>nd</sup> November and a flight to Serbia (Nikola Tesla near Belgrade) on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2020. Here we mainly concentrate on the latter flight, however in the oral presentation also results from the first flight will be shown. **Figure 1** gives a first glance of the flight to the Nikola Tesla power plants on a typical autumn day with a strong inversion layer trapping the emissions from the power plant in a thin layer.

One of the main objectives of this study is to validate spaceborne SO<sub>2</sub>-measurements by the TROPOMI/S5P instrument with our airborne measurements, which is the first study of its kind in the Balkans. TROPOMI/S5P is a novel passive imaging spectrometer which combines different methods/algorithms to retrieve SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and cloud properties with a daily passage around local noon time (Theys et al., 2017; Loyola et al., 2018). In this work, we use two different sets of TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> products, the official operational product and the COBRA product (Theys et al., 2021), which will replace the current operational product in the future. The variables extracted from the TROPOMI products are i.a. the Vertical Column Density (VCD) SO<sub>2</sub>, the quality assurance value (QA), and the Cloud Fraction (CF).




Figure 1. Vertical temperature and humidity profiles from the DLR Falcon-20 flight to the Nikola Tesla A power plant on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2020 (**left**). The emissions from the coal-fired power plant were trapped in an inversion layer located between ~500 and ~900 m asl (**right**).

#### **METHODS**

For the validation of the spaceborne measurements with airborne measurements, the first task is to select reliable TROPOMI/S5P pixels. However, this task turned out to be more difficult than expected. The inspection of daily TRO-POMI-SO<sub>2</sub> images showed a very noisy situation on many days, especially at the end of the campaign in November. The TROPOMI measurements along the long light path at this time of the year were influenced by the low position of the sun in the winter months and the unexpected high frequency of dense smoke clouds over the Balkans. Aerosols in the smoke clouds have the capability to also absorb light in the same wave length range as SO<sub>2</sub> and therefore might disturb the SO, signal (Bergstrom et al., 2007). Figure 2 shows one example of how the strong TROPOMI-SO, signals from the power plants in Bosnia-Herzegovina and Serbia deteriorate from October 2019 to November 2019 and simultaneously the noise from unspecified SO<sub>2</sub> sources in the surroundings increases. Similar noisy observations were made for the months December and January. First in February less disturbed TROPOMI-SO, signals are available as for the entire summer season.

The mission flights to Bosnia-Herzegovina on November  $2^{\rm nd}$  and to Serbia on November  $7^{\rm th}$  were influenced differently by the noise in the  $SO_2$  retrieval. A number of nearby local fires affected the first flight (Tuzla power plant) significantly, it is questionable if a satellite validation will be possible. However, the second flight to the Nikola Tesla power plants in Serbia was less affected by noise in the  $SO_2$  retrieval in the envisaged area and was found to be suitable for a validation.

To select reliable  $SO_2$  pixel from the Serbian flight, the  $SO_2$  data was combined with additional TROPOMI products (i.a. QA and CF) as mentioned in Section 2. Reliable  $SO_2$  pixels were defined when QA $\geq$ 50 and CF $\leq$ 0.10. As will be shown later in Section 4, only one part of the Serbian flight is suitable for a validation.




Figure 2. Sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) measurements from the Sentinel-5P satellite obtained with the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI). Mean SO<sub>2</sub>-values in Dobson Units (DU) for the Balkans are shown for October 2019 (**left**) and November 2019 (**right**). The red circles highlight the two SO<sub>2</sub> hot spot areas of the Balkans investigated in this study (power plants Tuzla in Bosnia-Herzegovina and Nikola Tesla in Serbia).

Presently the TROPOMI/S5P pixel size is  $3.6 \times 5.6 \text{ km}^2$ . For the selected validation area, our airborne  $SO_2$  measurements were averaged over the area of each pixel. For the calculation of the VCD from the airborne measurements, also information about the vertical  $SO_2$  distribution is necessary. For 7 selected pixels, measurements were performed at three different altitudes (~400, 540 and 900 m), just below, inside and at the top of the strong inversion layer (**Fig. 1**).

From **Fig. 3** it is clear, that the main amount of SO<sub>2</sub> contributing to the VCD was located in this inversion layer between ~450 and 900 m (due to the elevated emission height from the tall chimneys). Therefore, our airborne measurements were vertically interpolated between these layers and then integrated over the inversion layer to receive VCD values comparable with satellite measurements. Both our airborne measurements and the ground-based measurements from the nearby site Obrenovac indicate that the contribution to the VCD from the layer below ~400 m was less important on this day (note the logarithmic scale).

Serbia - 7th November 2020 (longitude >19 °E)

## 5000 4000 2000 1000 1e+1 1e+2 1e+3 1e+4 1e+5 1e+6 SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio [ppt]

Figure 3. Vertical SO<sub>2</sub> profile from the DLR Falcon-20 flight over Serbia on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2020 (black dots). Superimposed is the daily mean value and standard deviation (red dot with bar) of the ground-based SO<sub>2</sub> measurements in Obrenovac (76 m asl), located near the Nikola Tesla power plants, on 7<sup>th</sup> November 2020. The SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios are given in parts per trillion (ppt).

#### **RESULTS**

The horizontal distribution of SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios along the flight path in vicinity of the Nikola Tesla A (NTA) and B (NTB) power plants is shown in **Fig. 4 (left)** in the unit parts per billion (ppb). Areas with highly elevated SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios are highlighted in green, yellow and red colors. It is clear, that the plumes from the NTA and NTB power plants are advected with the wind to the northwest and are distinguishable from each other, as also observed by the aircraft crew. Unfortunately, the CI-ITMS instrument had problems to measure the unexpectedly high SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios inflight and the uncertainty of the measurements could be in the range of several hundred percent, which still has to be determined by more laboratory tests.

In **Fig. 4 (right)**, several TROPOMI/S5P products have been added to the airborne measurements from **Fig. 4 (left)**. Color-coded in traffic light colors are the TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> VCD values in Dobson Units (DU). Noticeable is the agreement of the location of the highest SO<sub>2</sub> values for the airborne and spaceborne

measurements in the westernmost part of the flight track capturing mainly the NTB plume. In comparison, the NTA plume is not well captured in TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> signal compared to the airborne measurements. The reason is a nearby cloud remnant from the morning fog in the river valley, as indicated schematically in the figure according to the TROPOMI cloud product CF. This result shows that, as previously noticed, only the westernmost part of the flight (including the NTB, but not NTA plume) is suitable for a validation. Fortunately, this part of the flight was also flown at three different vertical levels as mentioned in Section 3 and the VCD-SO<sub>2</sub> can be determined from the airborne measurements and compared to the spaceborne TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> measurements as discussed in the next section.




Figure 4.  $SO_2$  mixing ratios in ppb (color-coded) along the DLR Falcon-20 flight track in vicinity of the power plants Nikola Tesla A (NTA in red) and B (NTB also in red) on 7th November 2020 (left). The dotted lines with a star at the end indicate the measured wind direction at the height of the power plant chimneys (~150-300 m); varying between northeasterly to easterly direction. The dotted lines with an arrow at the end indicate the wind direction measured at the Falcon flight levels downwind of the power plants (~540-900 m); predominantly from southeasterly direction. Underlaid below the flight track is the corresponding TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> COBRA product in DU (also color-coded) (right). In addition, the cloud fraction (CF) is superimposed as black crosses (CF  $\geq$ 0.20) and as white circles (CF<0.20), and the position of a cloud remnant from the morning fog is schematically indicated.

### **DISCUSSION**

In Fig. 5 (left), both VCD-SO<sub>2</sub> values obtained from airborne (Falcon-20) and spaceborne (TROPOMI) measurements (COBRA retrieval) are shown, indicating a positive correlation with a high correlation coefficient (r>0.9). However, the number of data points is unfortunately rather limited. In Fig. 5 (right) the SO<sub>2</sub> mean values of all 7 data points in Fig. 5 (left) were determined and in addition for the TROPOMI operational product. The TROPOMI COBRA product value is slightly lower ( $\sim10\%$ ) than the value of the operational TROPOMI product. The airborne measurements are presently a factor of 2.5-3 lower than the obtained TROPOMI values, which might be caused by the standard (instead of adjusted) averaging kernel used.




Figure 5. Preliminary validation of the TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> retrieval based on the COBRA algorithm with vertical column density (VCD) estimates in DU from airborne SO<sub>2</sub>-measurements obtained from the DLR Falcon-20 in vicinity of the Nikola Tesla B power plant on 7th November 2020 (left). Preliminary comparison of mean VCD SO<sub>2</sub> values obtained from two different TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> retrievals (COBRA and operational product) and from estimates based on the airborne SO<sub>2</sub>-measurements (right).

#### **CONCLUSION**

Our measurements during the METHANE-To-Go-Europe field experiment are:

- the first airborne in situ measurements of SO<sub>2</sub> plumes from coal-fired power plants in Serbia and Bosnia-Herzegovina,
- and the first try to conduct a TROPOMI-SO<sub>2</sub> validation with airborne measurements for this region (~2h time shift present between the two measurements).

#### We found that:

- a validation is difficult in the winter months due to the low sun position and the frequent and widespread occurrence of smoke clouds causing noise in the retrieval,
- however, a validation is partly simplified due to pronounced inversion layers,
- for the Serbian flight a validation of the SO<sub>2</sub> plume from the Nikola Tesla B power plant seems to be feasible, despite of a number of uncertainties and only few data points.

#### Outlook:

- tests with different averaging kernels are planned,
- CI-ITMS performance tests in the laboratory are planned → more airborne SO2 measurements of this kind are needed in the region to improve satellite algorithms.

#### REFERENCES

- Bergstrom, R. W., et al. (2007): Spectral absorption properties of atmospheric aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5937–5943, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5937-2007.
- Dahiya, S. and L. Myllyvirta (2019): Global SO2 emission hotspot database: Ranking the world's worst sources of SO2 pollution, Greenpeace Environment Trust, https://www.greenpeace.org/static/planet4-india-stateless/2019/08/204126d3-global-hotspot-and-emission-sources-for-so2 19th august-2019.pdf.
- Fioletov, V., et al.(2017): Multi-source SO2 emission retrievals and consistency of satellite and surface measurements with reported emissions, Atmos.

- Chem. Phys., 17, 12597–12616, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-12597-2017.
- Fioletov, V., et al. (2020): Anthropogenic and volcanic point source SO2 emissions derived from TROPOMI onboard Sentinel 5 Precursor: first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 5591–5607, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5591-2020.
- Huntrieser, H., et al. (2016): Injection of lightning-produced NOx, water vapor, wildfire emissions, and stratospheric air to the UT/LS as observed from DC3 measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 6638–6668, doi:10.1002/2015JD024273.
- Liu, F., et al. (2018): A new global anthropogenic SO2 emission inventory for the last decade: a mosaic of satellite-derived and bottom-up emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 16571–16586, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16571-2018.
- Loyola, D. G., et al. (2018): The operational cloud retrieval algorithms from TROPOMI on board Sentinel-5 Precursor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 409–427, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-409-2018.
- Speidel, M., et al. (2007): Sulfur dioxide measurements in the lower, middle and upper troposphere: Deployment of an aircraft-based chemical ionization mass spectrometer with permanent in-flight calibration, Atmos. Environ., 41,2427–2437, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.07.047.
- Theys, N., et al. (2015): Sulfur dioxide vertical column DOAS retrievals from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Global observations and comparison to ground-based and satellite data, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, doi:10.1002/2014JD022657.
- Theys, N., et al. (2017): Sulfur dioxide retrievals from TROPOMI onboard Sentinel-5 Precursor: algorithm theoretical basis, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10(1), 119–153, doi:10.5194/amt-10-119-2017.
- Theys, N., et al. (2021): A Sulfur Dioxide Covariance-Based Retrieval Algorithm (COBRA): application to TROPOMI reveals new emission sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2021-294, in review.